

Room: E3 457

Louis Madrid

2018 SCJFL 1st Quarter Debate Only
Tournament

Round Start: 9AM

Ballot Due: Soon, please!

Affirmative: Kudos College of Youth
Leadership LJ

Negative: ModernBrain PR

Name

Points
(30-1)

Name

Points
(30-1)

Lily Johnson

25

Pradyuman Raja

18

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe
won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

CHAFFEY HIGH SCHOOL

- Aff. Lily**
- value is morality
 - critical of consequentialism
 - cites international community in their protection of protecting journalistic identity
 - press serves as antidote of against government power
 - gives numerous examples of press to show government corruption
- C.F. Raja asks**
- asks for clarification
 - not quite prepared on which questions to ask
 - asks for evidence on Trump & did not remember
- Neg. Raja**
- speaks too fast
 - advocates for proactive approach
 - cites democracy hurts economies
 - bloggers bring alot of damage to national security
 - consequentialism must define the terms & standards for free speech
- C.F. Lily asks**
- asks how leaks relate back to resolution
 - Raja misdirects from the resolution
 - Raja logical fallacies
 - Raja value is consequentialism
- 1st Aff Lily Rebuttal**
- says Raja provides no evidence on how his claims of national security threats relate to the resolution
 - says Raja is not offering alternatives for democracy or solutions for alternative
 - advocates for federal shield law
 - wants shield law to protect journalists doing the right thing & protect against govt. corruption
- Neg. Raja Rebuttal**
- says ~~that~~ national security protects us & does more good than harm
 - says sources do not need to be revealed to expose govt. corruption
 - says democracy is bad

- 2nd Aff Lily
Rebuttal
- says democracy is moral & gives people a voice
 - gov't. ab
 - says journalists want to protect sources identities & from persecution
 - cites watergate scandal as proof government did not break down.

Feedback

- Both were direct and sought to argue for their position with extreme confidence
- Lily was able to stay on the resolution more in talking points
- Raja was able to argue for his value that countered the Affirmative resolution
- Lily could slow down to articulate certain positions & views
- Raja could not assume their opponents intentions or meaning
- Finally, both were able to give specific examples which supported their position.

16

Adriana Navazesh - Kudos Leadershi

Open LD R1 FLIGHT: A

Room: E3 407

2018 SCJFL 1st Quarter Debate Only
Tournament

Round Start: 9AM

Ballot Due: Soon, please!

Affirmative: Academy of Higher Learning EF

Prep: ~~8:45~~ 4:00

Negative: ModernBrain ML

Prep: 2:56

Name

Points
(30-1)

Name

Points
(30-1)

Evan Fu

2

25

Mike Li

1

27

Kudos Academy
Nervousness

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe
won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____



JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

Kudos Academy

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Eye contact / don't attack structure. / don't pose things as
questions if its not
cross fire

16
13
17
13
14
6
3

Evan- weak speaker during cross
Mike -

No Show

18 **Adriana Navazesh - Kudos
Leadershi**

Open LD R1 FLIGHT: B

⁴¹⁷
Room: E3 407

2018 SCJFL 1st Quarter Debate Only
Tournament

Round Start: 9AM

Ballot Due: Soon, please!

Affirmative: Academy of Higher Learning JP

Negative: ModernBrain EL

Name Points
(30-1)

Name Points
(30-1)

____ Jun-Woo Pak _____

____ Evan Lin _____

**Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe
won the debate.**

Low Point Win? ____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

No Show

Denise Nguyen - Kudos Leadershi

Open LD R1 FLIGHT: A

Room: E3 455

2018 SCJFL 1st Quarter Debate Only
Tournament

Round Start: 9AM

Ballot Due: Soon, please!

Affirmative: ModernBrain AL

Negative: Academy of Higher Learning JK

Name	Points (30-1)
Alex Lin	22

Name	Points (30-1)
John Ko	27

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe
won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

D. Nguyen
JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

Hired
JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

AFF

Alex, since you are starting + first, please tell the judge + audience the resolution! I would like to know what you are speaking about + the topic. It was possible to understand your topic based on your arguments, but even then it was a rough start.

Don't be afraid to ask questions during CX! Questions for clarity + more information is highly encouraged. Even if you were not sure of what the NEG was saying, ask questions so you can understand it.

Great job on clarifying what whistle blowing means. After explaining, you should connect why whistle blowing relates to the resolution. I believe that was your strongest case. Elaborate on impacts!

NEG

John, what a strong start! I appreciate that you read out the resolution. Your contentions ① + ② were very on point + powerful, and ~~very~~ definitely are what is on the concerns of the masses.

Excellent job on CX + addressing the AFF's points!

I love your analysis of journalists' abuse to vital + confidential info.

Lovely touch on the impacts! Your focus is on public safety and your impacts speaks right to the safety of Americans.

"Extinction of the U.S." ← that is a stretch LOL.

Denise Nguyen - Kudos Leadershi

Open LD R1 FLIGHT: B

Room: E3 455

2018 SCJFL 1st Quarter Debate Only
Tournament

Round Start: 9AM

Ballot Due: Soon, please!

Affirmative: ModernBrain MF

Negative: Academy of Higher Learning TH

Name	Points (30-1)	Name	Points (30-1)
Michael Fu	23	Timothy Ha	27

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? _____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

AFF

NEG

Michael, since you are starting, please state the resolution and a brief intro/background info on it.

Your contentions seems lacking in empirical information, and where are the impacts?

I like your plan, however, where is the link that relays back to the ~~res~~ resolution.

Timothy, what a strong start! Really loved the introduction ~~that~~ that leads to the topic of the resolution. Nice break down of the definition + framework.

Great job during CX! Your confidence really shines during your speaking time.

Nice approach of the impacts for each of your contentions.

Room: ROOM TBA

Res: In the U.S. Reporters
ought to have the right to
protect the identity of confidential sources

2018 SCJFL 1st Quarter Debate Only
Tournament

Round Start: 9AM

Ballot Due: Soon, please!

Affirmative: Nova 42 Academy TV

Negative: ModernBrain AC

Name

Points
(30-1)

Thomas Vandenburg

27-1

Name

Points
(30-1)

Aidan Chung

~~26~~ → 26

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe
won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

- Reporter - one that reports
- Values - democracy

~~There is a case~~

- Equal rights

↳ applies to reporters

- Safe guards necessary to
~~state~~ ~~protect~~ protect info
on journalist/sources

- Authoritarianism is bad

↳ large social cost

↳ less edu

↳ weak economy

- Press plays vital role to
informing the public

- relationship of

- Morality causes us to push for change
- Leaks put national security at risk.
- Defense of intel.

↳ needs national implementation

- Whistleblowing

↳ "Master status" - reasons for leaking questionable

- Democracy kills econ growth

- Trump risk is out of proportion

↳ can't do most of what he says

- Regulations crucial to free speech?

Big media?

- How credible are protected sources

↳ viewing ~~sources~~ sources to vet
credibility

- weed out weaker info or push to end

• Good structure.

reporters want first
raise 10% whistle blowers
system have protection
Slip on slope neg argument

great structure
slow down while speaking

Room: E3 155

2018 SCJFL 1st Quarter Debate Only
Tournament

Round Start: 9AM

Ballot Due: Soon, please!

Affirmative: Kudos College of Youth
Leadership CF

Negative: ModernBrain AC

Name

Points
(30-1)

Name

Points
(30-1)

Christina Fang

29

Anish Chowdhury

27.5

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe
won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

[Signature]

Neg

~~mean slower~~ please
~~and eye contact~~ just cause
 your inaction
 wasn't good

Good things

ma maybe need stronger
 link to ~~stopping it~~ stop
 the corporations.
 show more if the corps
 went down and if they
 stopped and if they even
 cared at all.

ex is not always binding

Where did your values

50

where is the Brink for the
 PA

also what cyber peat
 harbor

How does the democracy
 point tie into the debate,
 you only attacked
 colora there was
 more than that

There is more to
 her case than just colora
 You don't weigh the link
 and the impact that
 like apples and oranges
 you didn't extend nuclear
 war in your last speech

RFD: The app delinks the negation from the DA which removes all impact she does a good job of extending her own case.

Room: E3 155

2018 SCJFL 1st Quarter Debate Only
Tournament

Round Start: 9AM

Ballot Due: Soon, please!

Affirmative: ModernBrain BW

Negative: Kudos College of Youth Leadership
ML

Name	Points (30-1)
Belinda Wang	25 285

Name	Points (30-1)
Maxwell Lee	28 37

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? _____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Belinda

Maxwell

1:13 used

can tell you don't know
your case eye contact
is important

Don't read off of her computer
it's rude

Tag your arguments
so it's easier to flow

Continue cross
earlier instead of
letting her soak up
your time. Don't try to go
fast if you can't. Your DA

yeah you need to know
your case better so
you can answer
his question. It

Both of you
Look at judge
during

shouldn't take that long
to find the impact, you
should know it off
the top of your head
Don't just read cards
you need to tell me where
they go. You need to defend
your case during your second
speech. Don't talk during his speech

is really long and their
is no Brink
First the link and impact
are in the case just because
she didn't answer in cross
also tell me what your impact
is not just that it outweighs
Don't look at your teammates
during the round.

RFD. The Affirmation dropped all her arguments
and the opponents so I had to give it to her.

Room: E3 353

2018 SCJFL 1st Quarter Debate Only
Tournament

Round Start: 9AM

Ballot Due: Soon, please!

Affirmative: Kudos College of Youth
Leadership FZ

Negative: ModernBrain DL

Name	Points (30-1)	Name	Points (30-1)
Franklin Zhu	AFF 28.5	Devin Lai	NEG 29

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe
won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

NOVA 42

NBC

nice pace + voice

AC

★ - SLOW DOWN
(you are stuttering)
sometimes- good defense
during cross exDON'T JUST
SAY Extend,
also give the
impact +
link to VC + V

When attacking
the NC, you
went after cyber
attacks. Next time
attack the link which
was the demoralized
NSA. If you attack the
link you get rid of
terrorism as well
but you ran out
of time by only
attacking the cyber
attacks leading
to an unrelated
terrorism attack.

→ you need to attack
his whistleblower
card. (this card
hurt your government
accountability point.)
- Good usage of blocks.

good
eye
contactyou put yourself in
a double turn.

if AFF increases democracies
it means more war due
to econ crisis but you
also say AFF doesn't
increase democracy. This
a double turn.

BUT SOLID
NR

NR

Reason for
vote

★ EX THE VOTE
THE REASON IS
★ Extend your impact
- Don't use

German Dem - WWII.
USE the US previous
financial ~~crises~~ crashes.
- nice conceded.

Room: E3 353

2018 SCJFL 1st Quarter Debate Only
Tournament

Round Start: 9AM

Ballot Due: Soon, please!

Affirmative: Kudos College of Youth
Leadership IL

Negative: ModernBrain HQ

Name

Points
(30-1)

Name

Points
(30-1)

✓

Ivan Leong

AFF

29.2

Harley Qiu

Neg

28.7

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe
won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Nova 42

- good clear verbal.

- Shorten the vt
VC.- put defs before
vt+vc~~give me a debate~~
~~debate~~

- unique argument.

- solid defense

- solid questioning

"you may begin the
time" & ?TV for linking
your vc and
impact
to get the r!!!

cross et:

NOOOO
YOU HAVE
TO SAY
GOV GOOB
that is what
your case affirms.speak a
bit loudergive me eye
contact.explain your
whistleblowing
too corporate crime
more (bit
confusing).try not to use
unnecessaryNext time use
more pep talk
that speech.
It needed
more organization.

#

read up on what
a VC is.bit confusing
to talking
in 1102.

the experience

Adam Revello - Kudos Leadershi

Open LD R1 FLIGHT: B

Room: E3 453

2018 SCJFL 1st Quarter Debate Only
Tournament

Round Start: 9AM

Ballot Due: Soon, please!

Affirmative: Academy of Higher Learning PP

Negative: ModernBrain VS

NamePoints
(30-1)NamePoints
(30-1)

Phillip Park

28

Vera Sun

27

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe
won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Aff

- Nice questions in cross, but make sure to be polite.
- Good call on point out that she dropped your contentions.
- make eye contact during your speeches.

Neg

- nice job making a case for consequentialism in your INC
- just FYI, the Winston Churchill quote is, "Democracy is the worst form of gov't, except for all the others."

Feedback to both debaters: Nice job! I'd like to see more clash on the paramount values & you guys are putting forth different PV, But don't tell me which one is better.

Decision: Aff

- Neg concedes me that consequentialism is a better value than democracy, and Aff does not contest this. Therefore I weigh the debate on consequentialism
- However, ~~Aff~~ Neg dropped all of Aff's contentions, allowing aff to add lots of impt which outweigh Negs impt, even when ~~weighed~~ weighed using consequentialism (human rights, less war, less famine, etc.)

Overall, great job guys, really impressed by your research and reasoning, keep it up!

Adam Revello - Kudos Leadershi

Open LD R1 FLIGHT: A

Room: E3 453

2018 SCJFL 1st Quarter Debate Only
Tournament

Round Start: 9AM

Ballot Due: Soon, please!

Affirmative: ModernBrain NJ

Negative: Academy of Higher Learning SJ

Name	Points (30-1)	Name	Points (30-1)
Nathan Ji	28	Soren Jensen	28

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe
won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

"In the US reporters ought to have the right to protect ~~the content~~
the identity of confidential sources."

Dec: Neg

Reason for decision:

- Neg convinces me that whistle blowing has existed throughout
US history, and therefore additional protections crossing
- Aff responds that the crackdown will continue and eventually
stop information.
 - but there is no card to substantiate this
 - therefore Aff loses all impt on democracy pt.
 - Neg also wins on national security and press exceptionism
violating rule of law.

Room: E3 457

anb Louis Madrid

2018 SCJFL 1st Quarter Debate Only
Tournament

Round Start: 9AM

Ballot Due: Soon, please!

Affirmative: Kudos College of Youth
Leadership TH**Negative:** ModernBrain KL

Name

Points
(30-1)

Name

Points
(30-1)

Ted Hong

24

Kevin Lai

20

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe
won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

CHAFFEY HIGH SCHOOL
JUDGE'S AFFILIATIONTed: rights
supreme court

- 49 states protect journalistic identity
- new info leads to investigation
- protects against government corruption

journalists should
protect their identity

- speaking too fast

• asks for evidence & not receiving it.

F. Kevin:
asks

Jeg. Kevin: • speaks too fast

- cites govt. intell. agencies effort & could undermine security
- proactive approach to defend against cyber attacks

• counters that whistleblowers leak & credibility is attacked by govt.

- counters Ted's points
- claims govt. is not corrupt

F. Ted: * Ted asks for evidence & Kevin can not give one

- Kevin is defending govt., not sources of informants

st Aff. Ted: • counters that Kevin isn't providing evidence

- * keeps track of resolution
- says Kevin does not have values w/ question

Neg. Kevin: • citing framework of consequentialism

- impact is there will be nuclear war b/c opponents can use cyber war

• cyber war is more dangerous than corruption

• corruption is not that big

• cites #MeToo movement as proof for citing leaks

• says whistleblowers may be

& coming forward will give more credibility

• says whistleblowers doesn't help prevent attacks

2nd Aff Ted: • says cyber security is well guarded • says govt. employees will not
Rebuttal

Feedback

- Both Ted & Kevin were able to stay on the issue of the resolution
- Both were able to cite specific examples to back up their claims
- Both can explain their logic effectively
- Both would progress in their debate skills by articulating more clearly & slowly especially to emphasize certain points.